

Megan Dixon (Cal. Bar. No. 162895)
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP
4 Embarcadero Center
22nd Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 374-2300
Facsimile: (415) 374-2499
E-Mail: megan.dixon@hoganlovells.com

*Attorneys for Defendants
American Society for Reproductive
Medicine and Society for Assisted
Reproductive Technology*

Rosemary M. Rivas (Cal. Bar No.209147)
FINKELSTEIN THOMPSON LLP
100 Bush Street, Suite 1450
San Francisco, California 94101
Telephone: (415) 398-8700
Facsimile: (415) 398-8704
Email: rrivas@finkelsteinthompson.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Lindsay Kamakahi

Bryan L. Clobes (*pro hac vice*
application forthcoming)
CAFFERTY FAUCHER LLP
1717 Arch Street, 36th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 864-2800
Facsimile: (215) 864-2810

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Lindsay
Kamakahi*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

LINDSAY KAMAKAHI, an individual
on behalf of herself and all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR
REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE;
SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY;
PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:11-CV-1781 SBA

**ORDER GRANTING LOCAL
CIVIL RULE 6-2 JOINT
STIPULATED REQUEST TO
EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
AND SET BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO
EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT AND SET BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, the parties hereto have filed a stipulation extending the time for Defendants American Society of Reproductive Medicine (“ASRM”) and Society of Assisted Reproductive Technology (“SART”) to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff Lindsay Kamakahi’s Class Action Complaint and setting a briefing schedule in the event that any Defendant in this action files a motion to dismiss.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that:

1. The time for Defendants ASRM and SART to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint, which is currently May 20, 2011 for ASRM, and May 24, 2011 for SART, is extended until June 24, 2011.

2. In the event that any Defendant in this action shall file a motion to dismiss Plaintiff’s Class Action Complaint, the briefing schedule on such motion shall be as follows:

Motion to Dismiss and Supporting Memorandum:	June 24, 2011
Plaintiff’s Memorandum in Opposition:	July 25, 2011
Reply Memorandum	August 15, 2011

3. The hearing on any motion to dismiss is scheduled for the next available date of October 18, 2011 at 1:00 p.m. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court, in its discretion, may resolve the motion without oral argument. The parties are advised to check the Court’s website to determine whether a court appearance is required.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 5/24/11



HONORABLE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE